equity, is now pending to enforce or test the validity of title to the aforesaid properties.

WHEREFORE, your Complainants pray:

- 1. That this Honorable Court order the Respondents to show cause by a date to be set by this Court, why a survey of Section I, Fox Estates, should not be ordered by this Court, for the purposes alleged in the Bill of Complaint and why expense of said survey should not be assessed as costs of this action.
- 2. That this Honorable Court authorize the surveying firm of Harris, Smariga & Associates, Inc., to conduct a survey of Section 1, Fox Estates, and the Respondents be ordered to allow access for such survey, for the purpose of establishing all relevant boundary lines and determining the location of, and correcting the aforesaid misclosure, or that in the alternative, this Court appoint a surveyor of its choice for the aforesaid purpose.
- 3. That this Court order that the costs of said survey be treated as costs of this action.
- 4. That this Court determine the location of the boundary line dividing the property of the Complainant Sanford Fox, Jr., et ux, and the Respondent, Sanford Fox, Sr.
- 5. That this Honorable Court reform the deeds to the subject properties, to conform with its determination of the proper boundary lines between said properties, and to correct the aforesaid misclosure.
- 6. That the Court award a reasonable amount in attorneys fees for the Complainant.
- 7. And for such other and further relief as the nature of the case may require.

SANFORD FOX, JR.

STERN, FINEGAN & WINIK, P.A. FREDERICK, MARYLAND